



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/371,769	08/10/1999	ERWIN HACKER	514413-3765	9638
20999 75	90 01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
now roma, r	***		1616	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/371,769	HACKER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alton N. Pryor	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	· •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation 5 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-16,18,19,22,29-31,33,34,37,40,4 7) ☐ Claim(s) 52 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	<u>Sheet</u> is/are withdrawn from 6 47-50,59,60,62,64,67,74 is/a			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 140)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/01. 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 17-21,23-28,32,35,36,38,39,41-46,51,53-58,61,63,65,66 and 68-73.

DETAILED ACTION

In light of amendment filed 11/10/05, a new ground of rejection is set forth below. Applicant is correct in that Ruegg (US 6180563) does not anticipate the instant invention. Examiner is setting forth a new ground of rejection (103 rejection) using Ruegg (US 6180563). Rejections using Ruegg (US 5965486) and Ruegg in combination with Tymonko (US 4822401) are withdrawn.

Applicant argues:

- a) Ruegg (US 6180563) is directed to a synergistic combination of an herbicide of formula I (trifloxysulfuron) and a substance of formula IV, e.g. glyphosate or glufosinate. Compositions were allowed for their showing of unexpected results for weed control based on this specific combination.
- b) Ruegg does not disclose compositions which contain the applicant's (B) herbicides nor is there any evidence that such as combination would maintain the unexpected results disclosed by Ruegg for their specific invention.
- c) Ruegg (US 5965486) teaches synergistic combinations of fluthiacet with glyphosate or glufosinate. Ruegg does not teach or suggest the inclusion of instant B herbicides in compositions comprising glyphosate or glufosinate. Examiner agrees with Applicant. Therefore, rejections using Ruegg and Ruegg in combination with Tymonko (US 4822401) are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-126,18,19,22,29-31,33,34,37,40,47-50,59,60,62,64,67,74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruegg et al (US 6180563; 1/30/01). Ruegg teaches a method for controlling weeds in crops including cotton comprising applying to the crop a composition comprising trifloxysulfuron plus at least one compound including glyphosate, glufosinate, prometryn, MSMA, pendimethalin, and clomazone. See abstract, column 1 line 5 - column 6 line 35, column 11 line 32 column 12 line 21. Ruegg does not teach or suggest an explicit method or composition for controlling weed in cotton comprising applying to cotton a composition comprising glyphosate or glufosinate and prometryn, MSMA, pendimethalin, and/or clomazone. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make instant invention comprising trifloxysulfuron plus glyphosate or glufosinate plus prometryn, MSMA, pendimethalin, and/or clomazone. One would have been motivated to do this because Ruega suggests the combination of ingredients and the herbicidal effectiveness of the combination would have been broaden as a result of the combination. Regarding applicant's argument in a) above, instant claims are open to the inclusion of trioxysulfuron since instant claims employ comprising language. In addition, claimed combinations of herbicides in compositions and methods are known individually

to function as herbicides. Therefore, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to combine ingredients having the same utility. Regarding applicant's argument in b) above, Ruegg does suggest the inclusion of at least one addition herbicides including glyphosate, glufosinate, prometryn, MSMA, pendimethalin, and/or clomazone in the composition comprising trioxysulfuron. The fact that all compounds being combined are herbicides suggests that the combination would have been effective. Combinations of compounds having the same utility are expected to give at least an additive effect.

Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 52 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has provided unexpected results for elected invention comprising glufosinate plus pyrithiobac. Other allowable combinations for which the applicant has provided unexpected results are glufosinate plus imazamox, pendimethalin, fluomethuron, bromoxynil, lactofen, cycloxydim and metolachlor.

Other Matters

Claims 29-46 depend from cancelled claim 1. Correction is necessary.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616